



## 2010 Governor's Candidate Survey

1) In 2009, a bill passed both Houses and was signed by the Governor. SB 603 corrected an error in Oregon law that allowed a person with a felony conviction to petition to have his rights restored to *buy* a firearm, but he was still not allowed to *own* it. SB 603 passed with no opposition. In the 2010 “special session” efforts were made to reverse this. Although they failed, we have been told that another attempt will be made in 2011 to reverse SB 603. Would you support or oppose such efforts?

Support \_\_\_\_\_ Oppose \_\_\_\_\_

2) In 2009, after years of opposition, the Oregon Sheriffs testified that they would support a system for carrying concealed handguns modeled after the systems used in Alaska and Vermont. In those states, persons who are qualified to own handguns may carry them with no license. Would you support or oppose such legislation?

Support \_\_\_\_\_ Oppose \_\_\_\_\_

3) Currently Oregon allows persons who live in adjoining states to apply for Oregon concealed handgun licenses. Unlike applications from Oregon residents, sheriffs can refuse, at their discretion, to accept non-resident applications. Would you support or oppose changing the law to allow *all* states' residents to apply and require that sheriffs accept and process those applications just as they do now for Oregon residents?

Support \_\_\_\_\_ Oppose \_\_\_\_\_

4) Many other states recognize Oregon's concealed handgun license. Oregon, however, does not recognize any other states' licenses. Would you support or

oppose recognizing other states' concealed handgun licenses?

Support \_\_\_\_\_ Oppose \_\_\_\_\_

5) Oregon law allows localities such as cities and counties to regulate possession of loaded firearms in "public places." In December of 2008 the Oregon Appeals Court ruled that for the purposes of transporting firearms, your car has to be treated like a "public place." Oregon Firearms Federation has requested legislation that would clarify that a vehicle is not a "public place" for the purposes of firearms possession. Would you support or oppose such legislation?

Support \_\_\_\_\_ Oppose \_\_\_\_\_

6) It is currently lawful for a person with a concealed handgun license to be on public school property. Efforts have been made to make this a criminal act. Would you support or oppose legislation that would **outlaw** licensed, concealed carry on school property?

Support \_\_\_\_\_ Oppose \_\_\_\_\_

7) The Oregon University System has consistently ruled that no one is allowed on their campuses with concealed handguns even with a concealed handgun license. There is current litigation to force the University System to stop imposing these rules which are in violation of state law. Do you support or oppose allowing licensed concealed carry on University property?

Support \_\_\_\_\_ Oppose \_\_\_\_\_

8) Do you support restrictions on any conventional firearm or ammunition feeding device, currently unregulated by Oregon law, e.g. semiautomatic rifles, high capacity magazines etc.? If so which?

Support \_\_\_\_\_ Oppose \_\_\_\_\_

List \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

9) In “Medford v. Jane Doe” the Oregon Appeals Court ruled that state agencies may prohibit their employees from possessing firearms in the workplace. Do you believe that public employees should have the right to keep self defense firearms with them at work?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

10) Would you support or oppose legislation that would allow state employees to keep firearms locked in their private vehicles if those vehicles were parked on state owned property such as the parking lot of a state agency?

Support \_\_\_\_\_ Oppose \_\_\_\_\_

Candidate’s Name \_\_\_\_\_

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Please return by April 19th  
E-mail to [off@oregonfirearms.org](mailto:off@oregonfirearms.org)  
Fax 206 338 4415  
Mail PO Box 556 Canby, OR 97013

Phone 503 263 5830